N THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI,

THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 13163 of 2005

Between:

N.Jayarami Reddy, S/o.N.Venkata Subba Reddy,
Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Anantapur Range, Anantapur.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Secretary, A.P.State Human Rights Committee,

Gruhakalpa, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.
2 M.Madhava Reddy, Former Inspector of Police,

H.No.16-2-741/D/40, Asmangadh,

T.V.Tower Malakpet, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of a writ of certiorari calling for the records in H.R.C. No.13 of 2005 on the file of A.P.State Human Rights Committee, Hyderabad, and quash the same in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.PRADYUMNA KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: None appeared

The Court made the following :

ORDER: **(Per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, The Acting Chief Justice)**

A notice has been received by the petitioner from the Andhra Pradesh Human Rights Committee, Hyderabad. Since certain allegations are there before the Committee, the Committee wanted to enquire the matter, and as such, the petitioner was informed to appear before the Committee on
27-06-2005 at 11.00 a.m. He was also informed that if he fails to appear, enquiry will be conducted *ex parte*. He has also been informed that he is permitted to engage a counsel to put forth his case.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner challenges this only on the ground that there is no human right violation, therefore, the committee should drop the matter.

When a notice has been issued by the Human Rights Committee to the petitioner to appear before them, it is for him to appear and tell the Committee that there was no violation of Human rights attributable to him. It is also open for him not to appear before the Committee. In these circumstances, we do not think, at this stage, this Court will be justified in interfering with the matter.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Bilal Nazki, A.C.J

G.Chandraiah, J

21st June, 2005

LUR

Copy to:

1 The Secretary, A.P.State Human Rights Committee,

Gruhakalpa, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.
2 Two CD copies.